20-MINUTE READ SUMMARY

1929 — 20-Minute Read Summary

1929: Inside the Greatest Crash in Wall Street History — and How It Shattered a Nation

by Andrew Ross Sorkin

Daily Book, Episode 31 · Source list: Barack Obama's favorite books of 2025

The Book in 60 Seconds

Andrew Ross Sorkin, the New York Times DealBook founder and CNBC co-anchor whose *Too Big to Fail* defined how the 2008 financial crisis is told, spent roughly eight years researching the original catastrophe. *1929* rebuilds the boom and the Great Crash through the people who made them: Charles Mitchell, the salesman-banker whose National City Bank pushed stocks on ordinary savers; Thomas Lamont and the partners of J.P. Morgan, summoned to organize private rescues because no public machinery existed; Jesse Livermore, who bet against the crowd and won; William C. Durant, who could not stop buying and lost; and Presidents Hoover and Roosevelt, who inherited the wreckage in turn. Drawing on diaries, private correspondence, Federal Reserve minutes, weather reports, and unpublished papers, Sorkin shows a market inflated by borrowed money, manipulated by legal stock pools, and policed by almost no one — then follows the reckoning: the Pecora hearings, and the reforms (deposit insurance, the Glass-Steagall Act, the Securities and Exchange Commission) that built the modern financial system. It is a thriller about leverage and confidence, and a reminder that both are invisible until the moment they disappear.

The Story and the Central Argument

The book opens in a country that had genuine reasons for confidence. The America of the 1920s was electrifying its homes, paving its roads, and putting automobiles, radios, refrigerators, and washing machines within reach of ordinary families — often for the first time, and often bought on installment credit. Manufacturing was booming, profits were high, and the stock market rose so persistently that participation widened far beyond the wealthy. Brokers opened offices across the country. Barbers, elevator operators, teachers, and taxi drivers became stock tipsters to one another. Prices printed in the morning newspaper functioned as a daily national referendum on optimism, and for years the referendum kept returning the same answer.

Sorkin's central argument is that the crash was not an accident of weather in an otherwise sound system. It was manufactured, piece by piece, by three things working together: **leverage** (other people's money, borrowed at every level), **opacity** (a market in which insiders could legally do things the public could not see), and **confidence mistaken for knowledge** (rising prices treated as proof that prices should rise). Remove any one of the three and the story softens. Together they made a structure that could only be held up by the belief that it would be held up.

The Story and the Central Argument (continued)

The leverage ran deepest through margin buying. A speculator could control a hundred dollars of stock with ten or twenty dollars of his own money, borrowing the rest from his broker. Gains were magnified — and so were losses. When prices fell far enough, the broker issued a margin call: produce more cash immediately, or your shares would be sold at market, whatever that market happened to be. Multiplied across millions of accounts, margin calls turned an ordinary decline into a self-feeding liquidation, because each round of forced selling lowered the prices that triggered the next round. Behind the brokers stood an enormous market in call loans, fed not only by banks but by corporations lending their spare cash into the Street. By 1929, brokers' loans had swollen into the billions of dollars, quietly wiring the stock market into the balance sheets of the whole economy.

The opacity was structural. There was no Securities and Exchange Commission. No federal law required companies to publish standardized, audited financial statements for investors. Executives traded on their own secrets without legal consequence. And speculators formed **stock pools**: syndicates that quietly accumulated a stock, traded it among themselves to fake excitement, fed tips to friendly columnists, and then sold into the rush of public buying their own activity had manufactured. It was legal. It was also, as Sorkin shows in detail after detail, a machine for transferring money from latecomers to insiders. Layered on top were the investment trusts — companies that owned shares in other companies, sometimes in other trusts, often with borrowed money, and often selling to the public at prices above the value of everything they held. Almost nobody could see through the stack.

The third element, confidence, had a distinguished spokesman. Irving Fisher, the most eminent economist in America, declared in October 1929 that stock prices had reached "what looks like a permanently high plateau." Fisher was neither stupid nor corrupt, and Sorkin treats him with a sympathy that makes the episode more unsettling, not less. Fisher believed his own analysis, and he had invested accordingly. His line endures because it captures the book's deepest point: intelligence is no protection against a boom when your reputation, your theory, and your money are all positioned on the same side of the trade.

The Story and the Central Argument (continued)

Against this stood the referees, and they were neither ready nor united. The Federal Reserve was only sixteen years old, divided between its Washington board and the New York Fed, and it had lost its most capable leader, New York Fed Governor Benjamin Strong, to death in 1928. Through 1929 the Fed debated whether raising interest rates to punish speculation would instead punish farmers and businesses who had never bought a share; it tried moral suasion — public warnings unbacked by action — and watched the market shrug. Sorkin reproduces the texture of those meetings from the minutes, and the effect is not to make the participants look foolish. It is to show how a catastrophe can be visible to the very people charged with preventing it, and still outrun their tools, their statutes, and their willingness to be unpopular.

The market peaked in early September 1929. That same month, economist Roger Babson — who had warned before and been wrong before — predicted an eventual crash, and the market's sharp one-day reaction, the "Babson break," revealed how much the mood had already changed. Through September and October the strains accumulated: sagging leaders, a major fraud collapse in London, brokers' loans still climbing even as prices wobbled. Then came the week that entered the language.

On **Black Thursday, October 24**, selling arrived in waves. The ticker tape, the era's only price feed, fell hours behind. Crowds massed silently outside the Exchange. At midday the heads of the great banks were seen arriving one by one at J.P. Morgan's offices at 23 Wall Street — a conclave the press watched from the street — and Thomas Lamont emerged to announce, with historic understatement, that there had been "a little distress selling on the Exchange." The bankers pooled well over a hundred million dollars, and Richard Whitney, the Exchange's vice president, strode across the floor bidding above the market for U.S. Steel and other bellwethers. The theatre worked for an afternoon. It could not work for a week, because the problem was not that afternoon's mood; it was the mountain of margin debt underneath the market, and the mountain had begun to move.

The Story and the Central Argument (continued)

After a heavy short session on Saturday and a weekend of official reassurance, **Black Monday, October 28**, brought a fall of roughly thirteen percent, with the bankers now husbanding their own resources rather than repeating the rescue. **Black Tuesday, October 29**, set a volume record — about sixteen million shares — that stood for nearly forty years, as enormous blocks of stock found no buyers at anything near their last prices. By mid-November, the market had lost roughly half its value from the September peak. Fortunes that families had counted, spent against, and borrowed upon simply ceased to exist.

Sorkin is careful — and this is one of the book's most valuable services — to separate the crash from the Great Depression that followed. The crash destroyed wealth and, perhaps more importantly, destroyed confidence: businesses postponed, consumers retreated, and credit froze. But the decade-long catastrophe was the product of what came next. Thousands of banks failed in successive waves, and because deposits were uninsured, each failure vaporized the savings of a community and taught every neighboring community to run on its own bank at the first rumor. The money supply contracted. Prices fell year after year, which made every existing debt heavier in real terms and rewarded everyone for postponing every purchase — a deflationary logic no individual could opt out of. The Federal Reserve, constrained by the gold standard and by its own doctrine, failed to lend freely in the emergency. Hoover intervened more than his do-nothing caricature suggests, but within limits — balanced budgets, voluntarism, local relief — that the scale of the collapse had already rendered obsolete. By the early 1930s, unemployment approached a quarter of the American workforce and industrial production had fallen by nearly half from its peak.

The book's final act is the reckoning, and it runs through a Senate hearing room. Beginning in 1933, the Senate Banking Committee's chief counsel, Ferdinand Pecora, put the great bankers under oath and asked them simple questions. Charles Mitchell acknowledged selling stock to his wife to book a tax loss, and it emerged that in some of the fattest years he had paid no federal income tax at all. J.P. Morgan's partners, likewise, had paid no income tax in some years, and the firm had maintained "preferred lists" of influential people — politicians, public figures, friends — offered shares at prices the public never saw. None of this required financial literacy to understand. It was a legible story about a club, and about whom the rules had been written for, and it broke the bankers' prestige permanently.

Out of that window of public anger came the architecture most readers still live inside: federal **deposit insurance**, so that a bank failure no longer erases a town's savings; the **Glass-Steagall Act of 1933**, separating commercial banking from the securities business whose abuses Mitchell had embodied; the **Securities Acts of 1933 and 1934**, requiring honest disclosure from companies selling shares to the public; and the **Securities and Exchange Commission**, created in 1934 and first chaired by Joseph P. Kennedy — a man who had prospered under the old rules and therefore knew precisely where the traps were hidden. Sorkin's point is not that these reforms were perfect. It is that they were only possible afterward: reform in finance is drafted in the ashes, in the brief season when the public is angry and the usual objections lose their force.

The Key Ideas, Explained Plainly

Leverage is a magnifier with no opinions. Borrowing to invest multiplies whatever happens next — it does not care whether what happens next is a gain or a loss. Margin buying felt like cleverness on the way up because rising prices kept refinancing the bet. On the way down, the same arithmetic became a conveyor belt: fall, margin call, forced sale, lower price, next call. When you read about any modern blow-up, Sorkin's narrative trains you to ask one question first: where is the borrowed money, and who can demand it back, and how fast?

Opacity is a business model. The stock pools were not a failure of the market's information system; profiting from other people's ignorance was the system, for those inside it. That is why the disclosure rules of the 1930s matter more than any single prosecution. Sunlight does not make investors wise, but it removes the structural advantage of the people selling in the dark. Whenever a corner of finance argues that it is too innovative to be examined closely, this book equips you to ask who profits from the darkness.

Confidence is the real asset, and it is unaudited. Prices in 1929 rested on a story — the "new era" of permanent prosperity — that rising prices themselves kept confirming. This is the circularity at the heart of every bubble: the evidence for the story is the price, and the price is produced by belief in the story. Fisher's "permanently high plateau" was the story wearing academic dress. The practical skill the book teaches is not pessimism; it is asking what would have to be true, independently of the price, for an investment to be worth what the crowd is paying.

Institutions hesitate for rational reasons, and that is the problem. The Fed's failure was not a failure of eyesight. Its members saw speculation clearly and argued about it constantly. Acting early meant certain, immediate, blameable harm — to farmers, to business, to foreign borrowers — in exchange for preventing a disaster that, if prevented, would never be seen. Sorkin's meeting-room scenes generalize uncomfortably well: in central banks and in ordinary companies alike, collective paralysis is usually assembled from individually defensible decisions.

The crash and the Depression are different events, and confusing them teaches the wrong lesson. If the crash itself caused the Depression, then the lesson is merely "prevent crashes," which is impossible advice. The truer lesson is about the response: insure deposits, lend freely against panic, refuse deflation, and build the firebreaks before the fire. The 2008 crisis, which Sorkin chronicled in *Too Big to Fail*, is the natural sequel precisely because its officials had studied 1929 and acted on the follow-through lessons even as they failed on prevention.

Reform has a season. Deposit insurance, separation of banking and securities underwriting, disclosure law, and a market regulator were all proposed before they were possible. They became law only in the window opened by disgrace and anger. Readers who work in any regulated field will recognize the rhythm — and will read Sorkin's epilogue with the question of how long such windows stay open, and what quietly re-enters through them once they close.

How to Approach Reading It

Read the book as a thriller in three acts, because that is how it is built. The first act, the boom, rewards patience: every mechanism patiently explained there — margin, pools, trusts, call loans — pays off with interest in the second act. The second act, the crash, covers roughly a week and moves at the speed the ticker could not; read it in as few sittings as you can manage, to feel the acceleration that the participants felt. The third act, the reckoning — the Hoover and Roosevelt responses, Pecora's hearings, the legislation — is slower and, for most readers, the most permanently useful, because it explains where the rules of today's markets actually came from.

Keep the book's cast list open for the first hundred pages without embarrassment. The dramatis personae run to dozens, banks share surnames with their founders, and even devoted readers will occasionally need to check which partner belongs to which house. The characters separate themselves as the story proceeds, the way a large novel's cast does. It also helps to hold two dates apart in your mind as you read: October 1929 (the crash — weeks) and 1930–1933 (the banking collapse and the Depression — years). Sorkin honors that distinction, and readers who honor it too will get more from the book than readers hunting for a single day of reckoning.

Finally, notice the domestic detail — the letters, dinners, and family anxieties threaded through the financial narrative. They are not decoration. They are Sorkin's method and his argument: crises are made by people with egos, mortgages, theories, and blind spots, and a history that strips those out in favor of charts will leave you understanding the prices and missing the event.

Memorable Lines

Short, attributed, and famous enough to have entered the language — handle each with the caution described in the criticisms below.

- Irving Fisher, October 1929, days before the crash: stock prices had reached "what looks like a permanently high plateau."
- Thomas Lamont, midday on Black Thursday, emerging from the bankers' meeting at 23 Wall Street: there had been "a little distress selling on the Exchange."

The first is the most quoted sentence of the entire era, and it was spoken by the era's most respected economist. The second may be the greatest understatement in financial history, delivered while the market was in free fall a few yards away. Between those two sentences — total confidence and total denial, both from men who should have known — sits the whole book.

A note on what the book is not. Despite the subtitle's breadth, *1929* is not a general history of the Great Depression, and it does not pretend to be. The Dust Bowl, the Bonus Army, the migration west, and the daily texture of mass unemployment belong to other books. What Sorkin has written is narrower and, within its frame, close to definitive: a history of a financial mania and its detonation, told from inside the rooms where the mania was financed, defended, and finally investigated. Judged as that book — rather than as the social history its subtitle half-promises — it succeeds almost completely, which is why the criticisms below are best read as boundary markers rather than as verdicts.

The Cast, Briefly

Because the book's method is character, a short field guide helps. **Charles E. Mitchell** of National City Bank is the boom's embodiment: a salesman of genius who turned a great commercial bank into a distribution machine for securities, selling stock to the bank's own depositors and lending them the confidence to buy it. His fall — Senate testimony, a tax-evasion prosecution, disgrace — is the book's most complete arc, and Sorkin insists, persuasively, that Mitchell was a believer before he was a crook, which is what made him dangerous. **Thomas W. Lamont**, the Morgan partner who managed the bankers' response on Black Thursday, represents an older code: private power exercised discreetly, convinced of its own stewardship, and blindsided by a public that no longer accepted that private power answered to no one. **Jesse Livermore**, the era's most famous speculator, is the book's cold eye — a man who had made and lost fortunes and understood that crowds end; his short positions in the autumn of 1929 made him a fortune while the tape was still catching up with the ruin. **William C. Durant**, founder of General Motors, is Livermore's opposite in every way that matters: a builder whose faith in the market's future survived every margin call until his fortune did not. Around them orbit the era's color — Groucho Marx, who lost his earnings and kept the jokes; Winston Churchill, who visited the Exchange gallery on Black Thursday and lost money of his own; Irving Fisher, whose plateau line outlived his fortune; and two presidents. **Herbert Hoover** emerges neither as the villain of caricature nor as a hero, but as a capable, exhausted engineer of voluntarism, attempting to organize confidence itself back into existence. **Franklin D. Roosevelt** closes the book as the political answer to everything the crash revealed: a president willing to treat the banking system as a public trust, beginning with the bank holiday of March 1933 and continuing through the statutes that still structure American finance.

A Short Timeline

1928 — Benjamin Strong, governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, dies; the Fed loses its most authoritative voice on the eve of the crisis. The boom accelerates.

March 1929 — Herbert Hoover is inaugurated, preaching continued prosperity. Charles Mitchell publicly defies the Fed's anti-speculation campaign by announcing that National City Bank stands ready to lend into the call money market — and the market takes the point.

September 3, 1929 — The Dow Jones Industrial Average reaches its peak. Days later, Roger Babson's warning of an eventual crash produces the sharp "Babson break."

October 1929 — Irving Fisher pronounces his "permanently high plateau." Selling pressure builds through the month; the London collapse of the Hatry empire shakes confidence across the Atlantic.

October 24, 1929 — Black Thursday. Record volume, a ticker running hours late, the bankers' pool assembled at 23 Wall Street, and Richard Whitney's theatrical bids steady the market for one afternoon.

October 28, 1929 — Black Monday. The market falls roughly thirteen percent. This time there is no rescue.

October 29, 1929 — Black Tuesday. Roughly sixteen million shares change hands. By mid-November, the market has lost about half its value from the September peak.

1930–1933 — Successive waves of bank failures — thousands of banks — deflation, and mass unemployment convert the crash into the Great Depression. Unemployment eventually reaches roughly a quarter of the workforce.

1933 — Roosevelt's bank holiday; the Pecora hearings put the bankers under oath; Congress passes the Glass-Steagall Act and the first Securities Act, and creates federal deposit insurance.

1934 — The Securities Exchange Act creates the Securities and Exchange Commission, with Joseph P. Kennedy as its first chairman — the old game's most successful player, hired to police it.

Hold this timeline beside the narrative as you read. Sorkin's scenes are so immediate that it is easy to forget how long the fuse was — two years from Strong's death to the crash, four years from the crash to the laws it eventually produced.

Fair Criticisms

The powerful get the stage; the shattered nation mostly watches from it. Sorkin announces his method at the outset — he will follow the people whose decisions built the boom — and reviewers including Kirkus have noted the consequence: the ordinary Americans who lost jobs, farms, savings, and homes appear more often as backdrop than as subjects. The subtitle promises an account of a nation shattered; the sustained social history of that shattering — the breadlines, the migrations, the hunger — is largely another book's territory. This is a disclosed choice, and arguably the right one for a book about how the crash was made, but readers should know which book they are holding.

The cast can overwhelm. Dozens of bankers, partners, speculators, officials, journalists, and celebrities move through the narrative, and even sympathetic reviewers have found the early chapters demanding. The cast list mitigates this, but it does not eliminate it.

Narrative history favors villains over structures. Telling the story through scenes and characters risks implying that a handful of men caused what slower forces also drove: the gold standard's constraints on every central bank, war debts and reparations warping international finance, an income distribution that left mass consumption fragile, and the monetary contraction that converted a crash into a depression. Sorkin knows this literature and gestures to it, but a reader who finishes only this book may overrate personalities and underrate systems — the mirror image of the error the book corrects in purely economic accounts.

Vividness is not the same as a recording. The reconstruction of private conversations and interior moments rests, nearly a century later, on letters, memoirs, and diaries — sources written by people protecting reputations. Sorkin's research is extensive and has been praised by the Wall Street Journal, the Financial Times, and The Economist for its forensic quality. Even so, the most cinematic scenes deserve to be held a fraction more loosely than the documented record around them.

The focus is Wall Street, not the world. The crash's international transmission — the Creditanstalt failure, the global deflation, the political radicalization that followed economic collapse in Europe — lies mostly outside this book's frame. For the global story, the pairing below is essential.

Why It's on the List

Barack Obama's annual favorite-books lists reliably mix literary fiction, memoir, and one or two works of serious narrative nonfiction about how American institutions actually function, and *1929* fits that pattern precisely. It is a book about the distance between private cleverness and public consequence, about why economies need referees, and about how democratic reform actually happens — not in calm deliberation, but in the brief, angry aftermath of failure. Obama has recommended Sorkin's reporting before, and this is Sorkin working at his largest canvas: not a crisis managed in 2008, but the crisis that created the very idea of managing one. That it reads like a novel while doing this work is, presumably, part of the recommendation.

What to Read Next

- **John Kenneth Galbraith, *The Great Crash, 1929* (1955).** Short, dry, and quietly hilarious — still the finest brief account, and the perfect chaser to Sorkin's maximal one. Galbraith and Sorkin disagree in temperament on every page, and reading both is an education in itself.
- **Liaquat Ahamed, *Lords of Finance* (2009).** The Pulitzer Prize–winning companion that supplies what Sorkin leaves at the edges: the central bankers, the gold standard, and the international machinery that turned an American crash into a world depression.
- **Andrew Ross Sorkin, *Too Big to Fail* (2009).** The sequel hiding in plain sight. Read it after *1929* and watch the patterns recur in 2008 — the weekend meetings, the pleas for private rescues, the officials with nineteenth-century tools — with the crucial difference that its protagonists had read the history you will just have finished.
- **John Brooks, *Business Adventures* (1969).** For listeners of this series: the same conviction that markets are human before they are mathematical, in twelve essays including a miniature crash of 1962 — covered in Episode 30 of this series.